

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,780	12/11/2003	Jonathan M. Liss	1065	8183	
32047 GROSSMAN.	7590 06/13/200 TUCKER, PERREAU	EXAM	EXAMINER		
55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET			ZHEN, LI B		
MANCHESTER, NH 03101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2194			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/733,780	LISS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Li B. Zhen	2194		

	Li B. Zhen	2194				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (1box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINATION. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	A service to the state of Change being					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 San attached Nation of Nan Co.	maliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): (a) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment on-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
	/Li B. Zhen/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2194				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. In response to the argument that Faigon's is only quantitative in nature, examiner respectfully notes that applicate claims also include "reporting a number of times said event toggled between said first and second states" (i.e. claims 5, 13, 21, 29 and 37). In addition, Faigon teaches that the event threshold (occurrence threshold) field that contains an integer value (col. 12, lines 29 - 56) and generating a fault report upon determining that a number of occurrences from the matched fault rules within the time threshold is greater than or equal to the occurrence threshold for the matched fault rules (col. 2, line 52 - col. 3, line 7). When the occurrences is greater than or equal to the occurrence threshold is generated fault rules (col. 2, line 52 - col. 3, line 7). When the occurrences is made a maintained for the time interval indicated in field 1007 of the fault rule (see Fig. 10). Therefore, the fault rule in Faigon is configurable to assess that a given event state persists for a predetermined amount of the